WO

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

DISTRICT OF ARIZONA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

	V.	ORD	ER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL	
	Jesus Roberto Carrillo-Ochoa	Case Number:	09-3114M	
and was repr	ce with the Bail Reform Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(resented by counsel. I conclude by a preponded dant pending trial in this case.	f), a detention hearing varance of the evidence the	was held on April 7, 2009. Defendant was presen he defendant is a flight risk and order the detentior	
I find by a pre	FIN eponderance of the evidence that:	DINGS OF FACT		
i iiiα by α ριν	The defendant is not a citizen of the Unite	ad States or lawfully ad	mitted for permanent residence	
		at the time of the charged offense, was in the United States illegally.		
	If released herein, the defendant faces removal proceedings by the Bureau of Immigration and Customs Enforcement, placing him/her beyond the jurisdiction of this Court and the defendant has previously been deported or otherwise removed.			
	The defendant has no significant contacts in the United States or in the District of Arizona.			
	The defendant has no resources in the United States from which he/she might make a bond reasonably calculated to assure his/her future appearance.			
	The defendant has a prior criminal history	<i>'</i> .		
	The defendant lives/works in Mexico.			
	The defendant is an amnesty applicant but has no substantial ties in Arizona or in the United States and has substantial family ties to Mexico.			
	There is a record of prior failure to appear in court as ordered.			
	The defendant attempted to evade law enforcement contact by fleeing from law enforcement.			
	The defendant is facing a maximum of		/ears imprisonment.	
at the time of	f the hearing in this matter, except as noted in CONC	n the record. CLUSIONS OF LAW	ervices Agency which were reviewed by the Cour	
IT IS deliver a copy	defendant is committed to the custody of the a facility separate, to the extent practicable, from defendant shall be afforded a reasonable opper States or on request of an attorney for the Got the United States Marshal for the purpose of APPEALS AN ORDERED that should an appeal of this determinents of the motion for review/reconsideration to Province of the custom states and the custom states are consideration to Province of the custom states are custom states.	will reasonably assure REGARDING DETEN Attorney General or his mersons awaiting or sortunity for private consevernment, the person is an appearance in condition order be filed with the retrial Services at least	wher designated representative for confinement in erving sentences or being held in custody pending sultation with defense counsel. On order of a court n charge of the corrections facility shall deliver the nection with a court proceeding. EASE In the District Court, it is counsel's responsibility to one day prior to the hearing set before the District	
IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that if a release to a third party is to be considered, it is counsel's responsibility to notify Pretrial Services sufficiently in advance of the hearing before the District Court to allow Pretrial Services an opportunity to interview an investigate the potential third party custodian.				

DATED this 8th day of April, 2009.

David K. Duncan United States Magistrate Judge